¢	ase 2:24-cv-03523-DJC-SCR Document 5	51-3 Filed 07/16/25 Page 1 of 4
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		ial ATES DISTRICT COURT ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13	FREE NOW FOUNDATION, et al.,	2:24-cv-03523-DJC-SCR
141516	Plaintiffs.	DEFENDANT CDPH'S OBJECTION TO DECLARATIONS ATTACHED TO PLAINTIFF'S SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT
17 18 19 20	ERICA PAN IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS DIRECTOR OF THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH, Defendant	Filed Concurrently with (1) Motion to Dismiss (2) Request for Judicial Notice (3) Declaration of Counsel (4) [Proposed] Order
21		Date: August 21, 2025
22		Time: 1:30 p.m. Dept: 7 Judge: The Hon. Daniel J. Calabretta
23		Judge: The Hon. Daniel J. Calabretta Action Filed: December 16, 2024
24		
25		
2627		
28		

Defendant Erica Pan, in her official capacity as the Director of the California Department of Public Health (CDPH), hereby objects to the declarations attached to the Second Amended Complaint (SAC) filed by Plaintiffs Free Now Foundation; Brave and Free Santa Cruz; D.Q., by his Next Friend, Alix Mayer; A.R., by his Next Friend, Alix Mayer; T.E., by his Next Friend, Kathleen Lynch; and N.D., by his Next Friend, Kathleen Lynch (collectively, Plaintiffs) in support of their Second Amended Complaint (SAC). ECF No. 39.

OBJECTION

In ruling on CDPH's motion to dismiss under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6), the Court considers "the complaint itself and its attached exhibits, documents incorporated by reference, and matters properly subject to judicial notice." *In re NVIDIA Corp. Sec. Litig.*, 768 F.3d 1046, 1051 (9th Cir. 2014). The SAC includes over 50 pages of declarations from individuals who are not parties to this suit. *See* SAC, at 94-140 (Exhs. 1-10). Such declarations "are not allowed as pleading exhibits unless they form the basis of the complaint." *United States v. Ritchie*, 342 F.3d 903, 907 (9th Cir. 2003). Whether a document forms the basis of a complaint "turns on whether the complaint 'necessarily relies' on that document." *Ecological Rights Found. v. Pac. Gas & Elec. Co.*, 713 F.3d 502, 511 (9th Cir. 2013).

Here, the crux of Plaintiffs' claims is that California's current school vaccination statute, as enacted by Senate Bill 277 in 2015, violates their purported substantive and procedural due process rights under the Fourteenth Amendment. SAC, at 83-88. Plaintiffs are not pursuing a class action. The attached declarations (which do not appear to be from parents of school-aged children in California presently subject to California's vaccine mandate) have no bearing on the Court's analysis as to whether Plaintiffs have sufficiently stated a cognizable due process claim against CDPH. For example, the declarations have no bearing on whether Plaintiffs' fundamental rights are implicated or whether Plaintiffs were denied procedural due process.

Moreover, although Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 10(c) permits the Court to treat a "written instrument that is an exhibit to a pleading" as part of the pleading for all purposes, Plaintiffs' Exhibits 1-10 do not qualify as written instruments. A "written instrument" within the meaning of Rule 10(c) is "a document evidencing legal rights or duties or giving formal

ase 2:24-cv-03523-DJC-SCR Document 51-3 Filed 07/16/25 Page 3 of 4 1 expression to a legal act or agreement, such as a deed, will, bond, lease, insurance policy or 2 security agreement." DeMarco v. Depotech Corp., 149 F. Supp. 2d 1212, 1220 (S.D. Cal. 2001). 3 Exhibits 1-10 are declarations from non-parties opposed to vaccines. 4 Accordingly, the declarations within Exhibits 1-10 should be stricken pursuant to Federal 5 Rules of Civil Procedure 10(c) and 12(b)(6). 6 7 Dated: July 16, 2025 Respectfully submitted, 8 ROB BONTA Attorney General of California 9 JULIE T. TRINH Supervising Deputy Attorney General 10 /s/ Jacquelyn Young 11 JACQUELYN YOUNG 12 KATHERINE GRAINGER Deputy Attorneys General 13 Attorneys for Defendant Dr. Erica Pan, in her official capacity as the Director of the 14 California Department of Public Health 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Case Name:	Free Now Foundation, et al. v. Pan	Case No.:	2:24-cv-03523-DJC-SCR
•	fy that on <u>July 16, 2025</u> , I electronically Court by using the CM/ECF system:	filed the	e following documents with the
	NT CDPH'S OBJECTION TO DECLA S'S' SECOND AMENDED COMPLAIN		ONS ATTACHED TO
•	all participants in the case are registered d by the CM/ECF system.	CM/EC	F users, and that service will be
of America tl	er penalty of perjury under the laws of the foregoing is true and correct, and that Angeles, California.		
A	nthony Conklin	Anth	kony Conklin
	Declarant		

SF2024804250 67765285.docx